arXiv:1410.7965v1 [math.AC] 29 Oct 2014

# RATE AND SYZIGIES OF MODULES OVER VERONESE SUBRINGS

RASOUL AHANGARI MALEKI

Abstract. Let $K$ be a field, $R$ be a standard graded $K$-algebra and $M$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module. The rate of $M$, $\operatorname{rate}_R(M)$, is a measure of the growth of the shifts in the minimal graded free resolution of $M$.

In this paper, we study the rate of Veronese modules of $M$. More precisely, it is shown that $\operatorname{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \lceil \max\{\operatorname{rate}_R(M), \operatorname{Rate}(R)\}/c \rceil + \max\{0, \lceil t_0^R(M)/c \rceil\}$, for all $c \geq 1$. This extends a result of Herzog et al. As a consequence of this, if $M$ is generated in degree zero, then $\operatorname{reg}_{R^{(c)}}(M) = 0$, for all $c \geq \max\{\operatorname{rate}_R(M), \operatorname{Rate}(R)\}$.

Also, for powers of the homogeneous maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R$, it is shown that $\operatorname{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(\mathfrak{m}^s(s)) \leq \lceil \operatorname{Rate}(R)/c \rceil$, for all $c \geq 1$. In particular case, we give a simple proof to a theorem of Backelin.

## Introduction

Let $R$ be a standard graded $K$-algebra with the homogeneous maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $K$. There are several invariants attached to a finitely generated graded $R$-module $M$. One is the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, which plays an important role in the study of homological properties of $M$. This invariant can be infinite. Avramove and peeva in [3] proved that $\operatorname{reg}_R(K)$ is zero or infinite. The ring $R$ is called Koszul if $\operatorname{reg}_R(K) = 0$. From certain point of views, Koszul algebras behave homologically as polynomial rings. Avramove and Eisenbud in [2] showed that if $R$ is Koszul, then the regularity of every finitely generated graded $R$-module is finite.

Another important invariant is the rate of graded modules. The notion of rate for algebras introduced by Backelin [4] and it is generalized in [1] for graded modules. The rate of a finitely generated graded module $M$ over $R$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{rate}_R(M) := \sup\{t_i^R(M)/i : i \geq 1\},$$

where $t_i^R(M) := \max\{j : \dim_K(\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, K)_j) \neq 0)\}$. This invariant is always finite (see [1]). The Backelin rate of the algebra $R$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Rate}(R)$ and is equal to $\operatorname{rate}_R(\mathfrak{m}(1))$, the rate of the unique homogenous maximal ideal of $R$ which is shifted by 1.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D02 (primary), 16W50 , 13D07 (secondary), 16W70, 16S37 .

*Key words and phrases.* Minimal free resolution, Koszul algebra, Syzygy module, Regularity, Rate, Veronese subring.

By definition, $\mathrm{Rate}(R) \geq 1$ and the equality holds if and only if $R$ is Koszul. Indeed, the rate of a graded algebra $R$ is an invariant that measures how far $R$ is from being Koszul.

Let $c$ be a positive integer. The $c$-th Veronese subring of the standard graded $K$-algebra $R = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} R_i$ is denoted by $R^{(c)}$ and defined by $R^{(c)} := \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} R_{ic}$. Backelin ([4]) used complex arguments about a lattice of ideals, derived from a presentation of $R$ as a quotient of a free noncommutative algebra, to prove that the $c$-th Veronese subring $R^{(c)}$ is a Koszul algebra for all sufficiently large values of $c$. Indeed he showed that $\mathrm{Rate}(R^{(c)}) \leq \lceil \mathrm{Rate}(R)/c \rceil$, where $\lceil r \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer larger than the real number $r$. Eisenbud, Reeves and Totaro ([7]) started their work from a request by George Kempf for a simpler proof to Backelin result. In order to do it, they showed that $R^{(c)}$ admits a quadratic initial ideal for all sufficiently large values of $c$.

In their paper ([1]) Aramova, Bărcănescu and Herzog showed that if $M$ is generated in degree zero then,

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \max\{\lceil \mathrm{rate}_R(M)/c \rceil, 1\},$$

for all $c \geq \max\{1, \mathrm{rate}_S(R)\}$, where $S$ is a polynomial ring such that $R$ is a homomorphic image of it. Moreover, from their result if $R$ is a polynomial ring, then the inequality holds for all $c \geq 1$.

The purpose of this paper is to extend and improve these results. Our main result (Theorem 2.7) states that for every finitely generated graded $R$-module $M$,

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \lceil \max\{\mathrm{rate}_R(M), \mathrm{Rate}(R)\}/c \rceil + \max\{0, \lceil t_0^R(M)/c \rceil\},$$

for all $c \geq 1$. Therefore, if $c \geq \mathrm{Rate}(R)$, then

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \max\{\lceil \mathrm{rate}_R(M)/c \rceil, 1\}.$$

This extends and improves the result of Aramova et al. Because $\max\{1, \mathrm{rate}_S(R)\} \geq \mathrm{Rate}(R)$. Also, their statement for polynomial rings holds for Koszul algebras, as we expect. Indeed, if $R$ is Koszul and $M$ is generated in degrees 0, then

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \max\{\lceil \mathrm{rate}_R(M)/c \rceil, 1\},$$

for all $c \geq 1$. In a special case, when $M = \mathfrak{m}^s(s)$, the $s$-th power of the homogeneous maximal ideal of $R$ shifted by $s$, we could modify the inequality and we prove that

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(\mathfrak{m}^s(s)) \leq \lceil \mathrm{Rate}(R)/c \rceil,$$

for all $c \geq 1$. As a consequence of this, we get the result of Backelin.

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated, $K$ is a field and $R = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_i$ denotes a standard graded $K$-algebra, i.e. $R_0 = K$ and $R$ is generated (as a $K$-algebra) by finitely many elements of degree one. Also, $M = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M_i$ denotes a finitely generated graded $R$-module.

## 1. **Notations and Generalities**

In this section we prepare some notations and preliminaries which will be used in the paper.

**Remark 1.1.** *(1) For each $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by $M(d)$ the graded $R$-module with $M(d)_p = M_{d+p}$, for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

*Denote by $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal homogeneous ideal of $R$, that is $\mathfrak{m} = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} R_i$. Then, we may consider $K$ as a graded $R$-module via the identification $K = R/\mathfrak{m}$.*

*(2) A minimal graded free resolution of $M$ as an $R$-module is a complex of free $R$-modules*

$$\mathbf{F} = \cdots F_i \xrightarrow{\partial_i} F_{i-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0 \to 0$$

*such that $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{F})$, the $i$-th homology module of $\mathbf{F}$, is zero for $i > 0$, $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{F}) = M$ and $\partial_i(F_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}F_{i-1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Each $F_i$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $R(-j)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Such a resolution exists and any two minimal graded free resolutions of $M$ are isomorphic as complexes of graded $R$-modules. So, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the number of direct summands of $F_i$ isomorphic to $R(-j)$ is an invariant of $M$, called the $ij$-th graded Betti number of $M$ and denoted by $\beta_{ij}^R(M)$.*

*Also, by definition, the $i$-th Betti number of $M$ as an $R$-module, denoted by $\beta_i^R(M)$, is the rank of $F_i$.*

*By construction, one has $\beta_i^R(M) = \dim_K \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, K)$ and $\beta_{ij}^R(M) = \dim_K \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, K)_j$.*

*(3) For every integer $i$ we set*

$$t_i^R(M) := \max\{j : \beta_{ij}^R(M) \neq 0\},$$

*if $\beta_i^R(M) \neq 0$ and $t_i^R(M) = -\infty$ otherwise.*

*(4) The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $M$ is defined by*

$$\mathrm{reg}_R(M) := \sup\{t_i^R(M) - i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

**Definition and Remark 1.2.** *$M$ is called Koszul if $\mathrm{reg}_R(gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = 0$. The ring $R$ is Koszul if the residue field $K$, as an $R$-module, is Koszul.*

*The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity plays an important role in the study of homological properties of $M$ and it is clear that $\mathrm{reg}_R(M)$ can be infinite. Avramov and Peeva in* [3] *proved that $\mathrm{reg}_R(K)$ is zero or infinite. Also, Avramov and Eisenbud in* [2] *showed that if $R$ is Koszul, then the regularity of every finitely generated graded $R$-module is finite.*

## 2. The rate of modules

The notion of rate for algebras introduced by Backelin in [4] and generalized in [1] for graded modules. The rate of a graded algebra is an invariant that measures how far $R$ is from being Koszul.

**Definition and Remark 2.1.**

*(1) The Backelin rate of $R$ is defined as*

$$\operatorname{Rate}(R) := \sup\{(t_i^R(K) - 1)/i - 1 : i \geq 2\},$$

*and generalization of this for modules is defined by*

$$\operatorname{rate}_R(M) := \sup\{t_i^R(M)/i : i \geq 1\}.$$

*A comparison with Backelin's rate shows that* $\operatorname{Rate}(R) = \operatorname{rate}_R(\mathfrak{m}(1))$. *Note that with the above notations* $\operatorname{rate}_R(R) = -\infty$.

*(2) Let $S \to R$ be a surjective homomorphism of standard graded $K$-algebras and $M$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module. Then, by a modification of* [1, 1.2]*, one can see that*

$$\operatorname{rate}_R(M) \leq \max\{\operatorname{rate}_S(M), \operatorname{rate}_S(R)\} + \max\{0, t_0^S(M)\}. \tag{2.1}$$

*Also, it turns out that the rate of $M$ is finite (see* [1, 1.3]*).*

**Remark 2.2.** *Consider a minimal presentation of $R$ as a quotient of a polynomial ring, i.e.*

$$R \cong S/I$$

*where $S = K[X_1, \cdots, X_n]$ is a polynomial ring and $I$ is an ideal generated by homogeneous elements of degree $> 1$. $I$ is called a defining ideal of $R$. Let $m(I)$ denotes the maximum of the degrees of a minimal homogeneous generator of $I$. It follows from (the graded version of)* [5, 2.3.2] *that $t_2^R(K) = m(I)$, thus one has*

$$\operatorname{Rate}(S/I) \geq m(I) - 1.$$

*From the above inequality, one can see that $Rate(R) \geq 1$ and the equality holds if and only if $R$ is Koszul. So that $Rate(R)$ can be taken as a measure of how much $R$ deviates from being Koszul. Also, for a module $M$ which is generated in degree zero we have* $\operatorname{rate}_R(M) \geq 1$ *and the equality holds if and only if $M$ is Koszul, that is* $\operatorname{reg}_R(M) = 0$.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let*

$$\cdots \to L_n \to L_{n-1} \to \cdots \to L_1 \to L_0 \to L \to 0$$

*be an exact sequence of graded $R$-modules and homogeneous homomorphisms. Then for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$t_n(L) \leq \max\{t_{n-i}(L_i); 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

*Proof.* We prove the claim by induction on $n$.

In the case $n = 0$, the result follows using the surjection

$$\mathrm{Tor}_0^R(L_0, K)_j \to \mathrm{Tor}_0^R(L, K)_j.$$

Now, let $n > 0$ and suppose that the result has been proved for smaller values of $n$. Let $K_1$ be the kernel of the homomorphism $L_0 \to L$. Then, using the exact sequence

$$\cdots \to L_i \to \cdots \to L_1 \to K_1 \to 0,$$

and the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$t_{n-1}(K_1) \leq \max\{t_{n-1-i}(L_{i+1}) | 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

Now, the desired inequality follows by considering the long exact sequence obtained by applying $\mathrm{Tor}^R(-, K)$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to K_1 \to L_0 \to L \to 0.$$

□

In the following lemma we compare the rate of the graded $K$-algebra $R$ and powers of its homogeneous maximal ideal.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For all integers $s > 0$ and $i \geq 0$, one has*

$$t_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^s(s)) \leq t_i^R(\mathfrak{m}(1)).$$

*In particular,* $\mathrm{rate}_R(\mathfrak{m}^s(s)) \leq \mathrm{Rate}(R)$.

*Proof.* We prove the claim by induction on $s$. The case $s = 1$ is obvious, so let $s \geq 2$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^s \to \mathfrak{m}^{s-1} \to \mathfrak{m}^{s-1}/\mathfrak{m}^s \to 0.$$

By applying $\mathrm{Tor}^R(-, K)$ , we get the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}/\mathfrak{m}^s, K)_j \to \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^s, K)_j \to \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}, K)_j,$$

for all $i \geq 0$. This yields the inequality

$$t_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^s(s)) \leq \max\{t_{i+1}^R(\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}/\mathfrak{m}^s), t_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^{s-1})\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}/\mathfrak{m}^s \simeq K(-s+1)^n$ for some integer $n$, and that $t_{i+1}^R(K) = t_i^R(\mathfrak{m})$. Now, using the inequality (2.2) and inductive hypothesis, we conclude the assertion.

□

**Definition and Remark 2.5.** *Let $c$ and $d$ be integers such that $c > 0$ and $0 \leq d \leq c-1$. Assume that $M$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module.*

(1) *Define* $R^{(c)} := \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} R_i c$. *Then* $R^{(c)}$ *is a standard graded* $K$*-algebra and is a subring of* $R$. *We refer to* $R^{(c)}$, *with this grading, as the* $c$*-th Veronese subring of* $R$. *Then the graded* $R$*-module* $M$ *can be considered as a finitely generated graded* $R^{(c)}$*-module via* $R^{(c)} \hookrightarrow R$.

(2) *We define* $M^{(c,d)} := \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M_{ic+d}$, *an* $R^{(c)}$*-submodule of* $M$. *This called the* $(c,d)$*-th Veronese submodule of* $M$. *In the case* $d = 0$, *we denote* $M^{(c,0)}$ *by* $M^{(c)}$. *Note that* $M$, *as a graded* $R^{(c)}$*-module, decomposes in to the direct sum* $M = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{c} M^{(c,d)}$.

*It is easy to see that* $(-)^{(c,d)}$ *is an exact functor from the category of graded* $R$*-modules to the category of graded* $R^{(c)}$*-modules.*

(3) *Let* $x$ *be a real number, then we denote by* $\lceil x\rceil$ *the smallest integer larger than the* $x$. *Note that the* $R^{(c)}$*-module* $R^{(c,d)}$ *is generated in degrees zero and for any integer* $j$, *we have* $R(-j)^{(c,d)} = R^{(c,k_d)}(-\lceil (j-d)/c\rceil)$ *for some* $k_d$ *with* $0 \le k_d \le c-1$.

*Indeed, let* $i_d$ *be the smallest integer such that* $i_d c \ge j-d$, *i.e.* $i_d = \lceil (j-d)/c\rceil$. *Then*

$$R(-j)^{(c,d)} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} R_{ic+d-j} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} R_{(i-i_d)c+k_d} = R^{(c,k_d)}(-i_d),$$

*where* $k_d = i_d c + d - j$.

*In particular cases*

*(a) when* $d = 0$, *we have*

$$R(-j)^{(c)} = R^{(c,k)}(-\lceil j/c\rceil),$$

*for some* $k$ *with* $0 \le k \le c-1$.

*(b) when* $c = 1$ *and* $d = 0$, *we get*

$$R(-j) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{c-1} R(-j)^{(c,r)} = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{c-1} R^{(c,k_r)}(-\lceil (j-r)/c\rceil),$$

*for some* $k_r$ *with* $0 \le k_r \le c-1$.

In the following proposition we find an upper bound for the degrees of generators of syzygies of $R^{(c,d)}$ as an $R^{(c)}$-module in terms of the degrees of generators of the syzygies of the maximal ideal of $R$. This proposition will be use in the main theorem of the paper, too.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let* $c, d$ *and* $n$ *be integers with* $0 \le d \le c-1$ *and* $n \ge 0$. *Then*

$$t_n^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,d)}) \le \max\Big\{ \sum_{j=0}^{u} \lceil t_{\alpha_j}^R(\mathfrak{m}(1))/c\rceil : 0 \le u \le n, 0 \le \alpha_j \le n, \Sigma_{j=0}^{u}\alpha_j = n\Big\}.$$

*Proof.* Let $c$ and $d$ be integers with $c > 0$ and $0 \leq d \leq c-1$. Consider the graded $R$-module $\mathfrak{m}^d(d)$ which is generated in degree zero. Then, $(\mathfrak{m}^d(d))^{(c)} = R^{(c,d)}$. Also, assume that

$$\mathbf{F} = \cdots F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to 0$$

be the minimal graded free resolution of $\mathfrak{m}^d(d)$ as an $R$-module. Then, applying the exact functor $(-)^{(c)}$ to $\mathbf{F}$ we get an exact complex of $R^{(c)}$-modules

$$\mathbf{F}^{(c)} : \cdots \to F_n^{(c)} \to F_{n-1}^{(c)} \to \cdots \to F_0^{(c)} \to R^{(c,d)} \to 0.$$

Let $G_i := F_i^{(c)}$ and note that $G_i = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}(R(-j)^{(c)})^{\beta_{ij}^R(\mathfrak{m}^d(d))}$, for all $i \geq 0$. Then, in view of lemma 2.3, we get

$$t_n^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,d)}) \leq \max\{t_{n-i}^{R^{(c)}}(G_i); 0 \leq i \leq n\}, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Now, we prove the claim by induction on $n$. Note that $R^{(c,d)}$ and $\mathfrak{m}(1)$ are generated in degree aero, as $R^{(c)}$ and $R$-modules, respectively. Therefore, in the case where $n = 0$ we have

$$t_0^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,d)}) = 0 = \lceil t_0^R(\mathfrak{m}(1))/c\rceil.$$

For $n = 1$, one has

$$t_1^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,d)}) \leq \max\{t_1^{R^{(c)}}(G_0), t_0^{R^{(c)}}(G_1)\}.$$

Since $G_0$ is a free $R^{(c)}$-module, $t_j^{R^{(c)}}(G_0) = -\infty$ for all $j > 0$. Now, using 2.5(3)(a), we get

$$t_1^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,d)}) \leq t_0^{R^{(c)}}(G_1) \leq \max\{t_0^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,k_j)}) + \lceil t_1^R(\mathfrak{m}^d(d))/c\rceil : 0 \leq k_j \leq c-1\}.$$

Since $R^{(c,k_j)}$ is generated in degree zero as an $R^{(c)}$-module, using Lemma 2.4,

$$t_1^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,d)}) \leq \lceil t_1^R(\mathfrak{m}(1))/c\rceil,$$

as desired.

Now, let $n > 1$ and suppose that the result has been proved for smaller values of $n$. That is

$$t_i^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,k)}) \leq \max\{\sum_{j=1}^{u}\lceil t_{\alpha_j}^R(\mathfrak{m}(1))/c\rceil : 1 \leq u \leq i,\ 1 \leq \alpha_j \leq i,\ \Sigma_{j=1}^u\alpha_j = i\}$$

for all $0 \leq i < n$ and all $0 \leq k \leq c-1$.

Let $0 < i \leq n$. Since for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, by 2.5(3)(a), $R(-j)^{(c)} = R^{(c,k_j)}(-\lceil j/c\rceil)$ for some $0 \leq k_j \leq c-1$, we have

$$G_i = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} R^{(c,k_j)}(-\lceil j/c\rceil))^{\beta_{ij}^R(\mathfrak{m}^d(d))}.$$

Hence,

$$t_{n-i}^{R^{(c)}}(G_i) = \max\{t_{n-i}^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,k_j)}) + \lceil t_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^d(d))/c\rceil\}.$$

Applying lemma 2.4 and using inductive hypothesis, one has
(2.4)
$$t_{n-i}^{R^{(c)}}(G_i) \leq \max\big\{\sum_{j=0}^{u}\lceil t_{\alpha_j}^R(\mathfrak{m}(1))/c\rceil + \lceil t_i/c\rceil : 0 \leq u \leq n-i,\ 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq n-i,\ \Sigma_{j=0}^u \alpha_j = n-i\big\}.$$

Now, by the inequalities (2.3) and (2.4), we conclude that

$$t_n^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,d)}) \leq \max\big\{\sum_{j=0}^{u}\lceil t_{\alpha_j}^R(\mathfrak{m}(1))/c\rceil : 0 \leq u \leq n,\ \Sigma_{j=0}^u \alpha_j = n,\ 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq n\big\},$$

as desired. □

Now, we prove the main result.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let $R$ be a standard graded $K$-algebra and $M$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module. Then for all integers $c \geq 1$,*

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \lceil \max\{\mathrm{rate}_R(M), \mathrm{Rate}(R)\}/c\}\rceil + \max\{0, \lceil t_0^R(M)/c\rceil\}.$$

*In particular for all integers $s, c \geq 1$,*

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(\mathfrak{m}^s(s)) \leq \lceil \mathrm{Rate}(R)/c\rceil.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\mathbf{F} = \cdots F_i \to F_{i-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to 0$$

be the minimal graded free resolution of $M$ as an $R$-module. Then, $\mathbf{F}$ is, also, an acyclic complex of $R^{(c)}$-modules. Applying lemma 2.3, we get

$$t_n^{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \max\{t_i^{R^{(c)}}(F_j);\ 0 \leq i,j \text{ and } i+j=n\}. \tag{2.5}$$

In view of 2.5(3)(b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_j &= \bigoplus_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} R(-s)^{\beta_{js}^R(M)} \\ &= \bigoplus_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} \big(\oplus_{r=0}^{c-1} R^{(c,k_s)}(-\lceil (s-r)/c\rceil)\big)^{\beta_{js}^R(M)}, \quad \textit{for some } 0 \leq k_s \leq c-1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} t_i^{R^{(c)}}(F_j) &= \max\{t_i^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,k)}) + \lceil (s-r)/c\rceil, 0 \leq k,r \leq c-1, \beta_{js}^R(M) \neq 0\} \\ &\leq t_i^{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,k)}) + \lceil t_j^R(M)/c\rceil. \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying proposition 2.6, one has
(2.6)
$$t_i^{R^{(c)}}(F_j) \leq \max\big\{\sum_{v=0}^{u}\lceil t_{\alpha_v}^R(\mathfrak{m}(1))/c\rceil + \lceil t_j^R(M)/c\rceil : 0 \leq u \leq i, 0 \leq \alpha_v \leq i,\ \Sigma_{v=0}^u \alpha_v = i\big\},$$

for all $i, j \geq 0$.

Set $b := \max\{\mathrm{Rate}(R), \mathrm{rate}_R(M)\}$. Then, by definition, $t^R_\alpha(\mathfrak{m}(1)) \leq \alpha b$ and $t^R_\alpha(M) \leq \alpha b$ for all integer $\alpha > 0$. Since for any real number $x$ and any positive integer $m$ one has $\lceil mx \rceil \leq m\lceil x \rceil$, we get

$$\lceil t^R_\alpha(\mathfrak{m}(1))/c \rceil \leq \lceil \alpha b/c \rceil \leq \alpha \lceil b/c \rceil,$$

and

$$\lceil t^R_\alpha(M)/c \rceil \leq \lceil \alpha b/c \rceil \leq \alpha \lceil b/c \rceil,$$

for all integer $\alpha > 0$. Therefore, in view of the inequality (2.6), we get

$$t_i^{R^{(c)}}(F_j) \leq \begin{cases} (i+j)\lceil b/c \rceil & \text{if } \ j > 0 \\ i\lceil b/c \rceil + \lceil t^R_0(M)/c \rceil & \text{if } \ j = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $i, j \geq 0$. This, in conjunction with the inequality (2.5), implies that

$$t_n^{R^{(c)}}(M)/n \leq \lceil b/c \rceil + \max\{0, \lceil t^R_0(M)/c \rceil\},$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, we get

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \lceil b/c \rceil + \max\{0, \lceil t^R_0(M)/c \rceil\},$$

as desired.

In the case where $M = \mathfrak{m}^s(s)$, for some integer $s \geq 1$, using lemma 2.4, we have

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(\mathfrak{m}^s(s)) \leq \lceil \mathrm{Rate}(R)/c \rceil.$$

□

Let $R \simeq S/I$, where $S$ is a polynomial ring over $K$ and $I$ a homogeneous ideal of $S$. Aramova, Bărcănescu and Herzog in [1] showed that for all finitely generated graded $R$-module $M$ which generated in degree zero,

$$\mathrm{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \max\{\lceil \mathrm{rate}_R(M)/c \rceil, 1\},$$

for all $c \geq \max\{1, \mathrm{rate}_S(R)\}$. Moreover, by their result, if $R$ is a polynomial ring then, the inequality holds for all $c \geq 1$. Using (2.1) in remark 2.1, it is straightforward to see that

$$\mathrm{Rate}(R) \leq \max\{\mathrm{rate}_S(R), 1\}.$$

As an immediate consequence of the above theorem, we have the following corollary that improves the theorem of Aramova et al.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module generated in degrees zero. Then*

$$\operatorname{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(M) \leq \max\{\lceil \operatorname{rate}_R(M)/c \rceil, 1\},$$

*for all $c \geq \operatorname{Rate}(R)$. In particular, in the case where $R$ is a Koszul algebra the above inequality holds for all $c \geq 1$.*

Backelin ([4]) used complex arguments about a lattice of ideals, derived from a presentation of $R$ as a quotient of a free noncommutative algebra, to prove that the $c$-th Veronese subring $R^{(c)}$ is a Koszul algebra for all sufficiently large values of $c$. Indeed he showed that $\operatorname{Rate}(R^{(c)}) \leq \lceil \operatorname{Rate}(R)/c \rceil$. The next corollary presents a simple proof for the theorem of Backelin.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let $R$ be a standard graded $K$-algebra. Then*

$$\operatorname{Rate}(R^{(c)}) \leq \lceil \operatorname{Rate}(R)/c \rceil.$$

*Proof.* Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the homogeneous maximal ideal of $R$. Then $\mathfrak{m}^{(c)}$ is the homogeneous maximal ideal of $R^{(c)}$ and it is a direct summand of $\mathfrak{m}$ as an $R^{(c)}$-module. Hence, by Theorem 2.7, we get

$$\operatorname{Rate}(R^{(c)}) = \operatorname{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(\mathfrak{m}^{(c)}(1)) \leq \operatorname{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(\mathfrak{m}(1)) \leq \lceil \operatorname{Rate}(R)/c \rceil.$$

□

Conca ([6]) showed that if $R$ is Koszul then, $\operatorname{reg}_{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,d)}) = 0$ for all integers $c, d$ with $0 \leq d \leq c-1$. The third part of the following corollary, also, generalize this result.

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let the situations be as in the above theorem. Then the followings hold.*

*(1) If $M$ is generated in degree zero, then for all $c \geq \max\{\operatorname{rate}_R(M), \operatorname{Rate}(R)\}$,*

$$\operatorname{reg}_{R^{(c)}}(M) = 0.$$

*(2) For all $c \geq \operatorname{Rate}(R)$ and $s \geq 1$,*

$$\operatorname{reg}_{R^{(c)}}(\mathfrak{m}^s(s)) = 0.$$

*(3) For all $c \geq \operatorname{Rate}(R)$*

$$\operatorname{reg}_{R^{(c)}}(R) = 0.$$

*In particular, $\operatorname{reg}_{R^{(c)}}(R^{(c,d)}) = 0$ for all $c \geq \operatorname{Rate}(R)$ and $0 \leq d \leq c-1$.*

*Proof.* One can prove the claims, using theorem 2.7 and noting that for a finitely generated graded $R^{(c)}$-module $N$ generated in degree zero, $\operatorname{reg}_{R^{(c)}}(N) = 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{rate}_{R^{(c)}}(N) = 1$. □

## References


[1] A. Aramova, Ş. Bărcănescu and J. Herzog, *On the rate of relative Veronese submodules*, Rev. Roumaine Math.Pures Appl. **40** no. 3-4 (1995) 243-251.

[2] L.L. Avramov and D. Eisenbud, *Regularity of modules over a Koszul algebra*, J.Algebra 153 (1992) 85–90.

[3] L.L. Avramov and Peeva, *Finite regularity and Koszul algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001) 275-281.

[4] J. Backelin, *On the rates of growth of the homologies of Veronese subrings*, In Algebra, Algebraic Topology and Their Intersections, ed. J-E. Roos,Springer Lect. Notes in Math. **1183** (1986) 79–100.

[5] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1993).

[6] A. Conca, *Koszul algebras and their sygygies*, preprint 2014.

[7] D. Eisenbud, A. Reeves and B. Totaro, *Initial ideals, Veronese subrings, and rates of algebras*, Adv. Math. **109** no. 2 (1994) 168-187.


*E-mail address*: rahangari@ipm.ir, rasoulahangari@gmail.com